



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/658,207	09/08/2000	Robert J. Donaghey	00-4007	3500	
32127	7590 11/04/2003		EXAMINER		
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.			LUU, LE HIEN		
•	IAN R. ANDERSON RIDGE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAILCODE	ноеозно 1		2141	L _I	
IRVING, TX 75038			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summary		09/658,207		DONAGHEY ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit	·-				
		Le H Luu		2141					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 S</u>	September 2000	! .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-	final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ement.						
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment	-	•	50						
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) [Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTo					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/658,207

Art Unit: 2141

- 1. Claims 1-25 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless—

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language,

or

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-10, 13-14, 16, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by **Waclawsky** patent no. **6,539,026**.
- 4. As to claim 1, Waclawsky teaches the invention as claimed, including a method that ensures policy coherence among a group of peer devices comprising:

detecting an addition of a new policy version (col. 19 line 58 - col. 20 line 29);

generating a message containing the newly added policy version (col. 19 line 58

- col. 20 line 29); and

transferring the message to the peer devices (col. 9 lines 39-46; col. 19 line 58 - col. 20 line 29).

Art Unit: 2141

5. As to claim 2, Waclawsky teaches the newly added policy version is a policy that relates to at least one of system administration, system security, command and control, and courses of action (col. 8 line 12-39; col. 9 lines 37).

- 6. As to claim 3 Waclawsky teaches determining whether a policy version has become newly active; generating a second message containing an indication of the newly active policy version; and sending the second message to the peer devices (col. 19 line 58 col. 20 line 29).
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 4, 8, 11-12, 15, 17-20, and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Waclawsky patent no. 6,539,026, in view of McCloghrie et al. (McCloghrie) patent no. 6,286,052.

Application/Control Number: 09/658,207

Art Unit: 2141

9. As to claim 4, Waclawsky teaches the invention substantially as claimed, as

discussed above. However, Waclawsky does not explicitly teach an active policy

database stores a list of active policy identifiers.

McCloghrie teaches a database contains Policy Identifiers as described in COPS

Usage for Differentiated Services (col. 14 lines 25-44).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at

the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Waclawsky and McCloghrie to

stores a list of active policy identifiers in an active policy database because it would

allow a device to be configured for a particular services using active policies stored in

the active policy database.

10. Claims 5-25 have similar limitations as claims 1-4; therefore, they are rejected

under the same rationale.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9650.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:00 AM to 4:30

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/658,207

Art Unit: 2141

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE").

Or:

(703) 872-9306 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

PRIMARY EXAMINER
October 21, 2003